



INSTITUT DE FRANCE  
Académie des sciences

# *Comptes Rendus*

---

## *Mathématique*

Alexander Olevskii and Alexander Ulanovskii

**Fourier Quasicrystals with Unit Masses**

Volume 358, issue 11-12 (2020), p. 1207-1211.

<<https://doi.org/10.5802/crmath.142>>

© Académie des sciences, Paris and the authors, 2020.  
*Some rights reserved.*

 This article is licensed under the  
CREATIVE COMMONS ATTRIBUTION 4.0 INTERNATIONAL LICENSE.  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



*Les Comptes Rendus. Mathématique* sont membres du  
Centre Mersenne pour l'édition scientifique ouverte  
[www.centre-mersenne.org](http://www.centre-mersenne.org)



Harmonic Analysis / *Analyse harmonique*

# Fourier Quasicrystals with Unit Masses

Alexander Olevskii<sup>a</sup> and Alexander Ulanovskii<sup>\*, b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematics, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Ramat Aviv, Israel.

<sup>b</sup> Stavanger University, 4036 Stavanger, Norway.

*E-mails:* olevskii@post.tau.ac.il (A. Olevskii), Alexander.Ulanovskii@uis.no  
(A. Ulanovskii)

**Abstract.** The sum of  $\delta$ -measures sitting at the points of a discrete set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  forms a Fourier quasicrystal if and only if  $\Lambda$  is the zero set of an exponential polynomial with imaginary frequencies.

*Manuscript received 19th October 2020, accepted 30th October 2020.*

## 1. Introduction

By a Fourier quasicrystal one usually means a complex measure with discrete support and spectrum. This concept goes back to works of Yves Meyer in the 1970-ies and it reappeared later in connection with an unexpected phenomenon in crystallography discovered by Dan Shechtman in the 1980-ies, see [5].

More precisely, following [6] we call a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  a *crystalline measure*, if it is an atomic measure which is a tempered distribution, its distributional Fourier transform  $\widehat{\mu}$  is an atomic measure and both the support  $\Lambda$  and the spectrum  $S$  of  $\mu$  are locally finite sets. If in addition the measures  $|\mu|$  and  $|\widehat{\mu}|$  are also tempered, then  $\mu$  is called a *Fourier quasicrystal* (FQ).

The classical example of an FQ is the Dirac comb (the crystal)

$$\mu = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \delta_k,$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the unit mass at point  $x$ . Then the Poisson summation formula reads  $\widehat{\mu} = \mu$ .

Examples of aperiodic quasicrystals were presented in [3] and then in [1, 6, 7]. Recently a new progress was achieved by P. Kurasov and P. Sarnak [2] who discovered examples of FQs with unit masses

$$\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \delta_\lambda, \tag{1}$$

where  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  is a uniformly discrete aperiodic set. An alternative construction of such measures was suggested by Y.Meyer [8].

---

\* Corresponding author.

Below we present one more construction and prove that it characterizes all FQs of form (1). A preliminary publication of our results was given in arXiv [9, 10].

The Theorem 1 below reveals a fundamental connection between FQs with unit masses and the zero sets  $Z(p) := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : p(z) = 0\}$  of exponential polynomials  $p$  with imaginary frequencies.

**Theorem 1.**

(i) Let  $p$  be an exponential polynomial

$$p(t) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} c_j e^{2\pi i \gamma_j t}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, c_j \in \mathbb{C}, \gamma_j \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2)$$

which has only simple real zeros. Then the measure  $\mu$  defined in (1) with  $\Lambda = Z(p)$  is an FQ.

(ii) Conversely, let  $\mu$  be an FQ of form (1). Then there is an exponential polynomial  $p$  of form (2) with real simple zeros such that  $\Lambda = Z(p)$ .

We will sketch the proof of part (ii), see [10] for the proof of part (i).

Using Theorem 1 (i) one may construct simple examples of aperiodic FQs.

**Lemma 2.** Fix a real number  $\epsilon$  satisfying  $0 < |\epsilon| \leq 1/2$  and set

$$p_\epsilon(t) := \sin(\pi t) + \epsilon \sin t. \quad (3)$$

Then  $p_\epsilon$  has only simple real zeros and

$$Z(p_\epsilon) = \{k + \epsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad \epsilon_k \in [-1/6, 1/6].$$

For a proof see [10].

Theorem 1 and Lemma 2 show that the sum of  $\delta$ -measures sitting at the points of  $Z(p_\epsilon)$  is an FQ.

Let  $p_\epsilon$  be given in (3). One may check that the numbers  $\epsilon_k$  in Lemma 2 satisfy  $\max_k |\epsilon_k| \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore, the set  $Z(p_\epsilon)$  “approaches” the set of integers  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

**Corollary 3.** For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an aperiodic set

$$\Lambda = \{k + \epsilon_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \quad 0 < |\epsilon_k| < \epsilon, k \in \mathbb{Z},$$

such that the corresponding measure in (1) is an FQ.

## 2. Proof of Part (ii) of Theorem 1

In what follows we consider the standard form of the Fourier transform

$$\hat{h}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\pi i u t} h(t) dt, \quad h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}).$$

Let us start with a result which may have intrinsic interest:

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure which is a tempered distribution, such that its distributional Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  is a measure satisfying

$$|\hat{\mu}|(-R, R) = O(R^m), \quad R \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for some } m > 0, \quad (4)$$

which means that  $|\hat{\mu}|$  is a tempered distribution. Then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\mu(a, b) \leq C(1 + b - a), \quad -\infty < a < b < \infty. \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** It suffices to prove (5) for every interval  $(a, b)$  satisfying  $b - a \geq 2$ .

Fix any non-negative Schwartz function  $g(x)$  supported by  $[-1/2, 1/2]$  and such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(x) dx = 1.$$

Set

$$f(x) := (g * \mathbf{1}_{(a-1/2, b+1/2)})(x) \in S(\mathbb{R}).$$

Clearly,

$$|\widehat{f}(t)| = |\widehat{g}(t)\widehat{\mathbf{1}}_{(a-1/2, b+1/2)}(t)| \leq (1 + b - a)|\widehat{g}(t)|.$$

Using this inequality and (4), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\mu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(t)\widehat{\mu}(dt) \leq (1 + b - a) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{g}(t)| |\widehat{\mu}(dt)| = C(1 + b - a).$$

On the other hand, clearly,

$$f(x) = g(x) * \mathbf{1}_{(a-1/2, b+1/2)}(x) = 1, \quad x \in (a, b).$$

Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t)\mu(dt) \geq \mu(a, b),$$

which proves the Proposition 4.

Recall that a set  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called uniformly discrete, if

$$\inf_{\lambda', \lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \lambda'} |\lambda - \lambda'| > 0.$$

A set  $\Lambda$  is called relatively uniformly discrete if it is a union of finite number of uniformly discrete sets.

Proposition 4 implies

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $\mu$  be a measure of form (1) whose distributional Fourier transform is a measure satisfying (4). Then its support  $\Lambda$  is a relatively uniformly discrete set.*

Assume  $\mu$  is an FQ of form (1). This means that a Poisson-type formula

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f(\lambda) = \sum_{s \in S} a_s \widehat{f}(s), \quad f \in S(\mathbb{R}), \quad (6)$$

is true where  $S(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the Schwartz space,  $S$  is locally finite set and the coefficients  $a_s$  satisfy

$$\sum_{s \in S, |s| < R} |a_s| \leq CR^m, \quad R > 1, \quad \text{for some } C, m > 0. \quad (7)$$

To prove part (ii) of Theorem 1 we have to show that  $\Lambda = Z(p)$  for some exponential polynomial  $p$  of form (2). We will prove this under the additional restrictions that  $\Lambda$  is a symmetric set,  $-\Lambda = \Lambda$  and  $0 \notin \Lambda$ . For the general case see [9].

Set

$$\psi(z) := \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\lambda}\right) = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \lambda > 0} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda^2}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (8)$$

The product converges (uniformly on compacts) due to Corollary 5.

**Lemma 6.**  *$\psi$  is an entire function of order one and finite type, i.e. there exist  $C, \sigma > 0$  such that*

$$|\psi(z)| \leq Ce^{\sigma|z|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This lemma follows from Corollary 5 and the symmetry of  $\Lambda$  by standard estimates.

**Lemma 7.** *The following representation is true:*

$$\frac{\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} = -2\pi i \left( a_0/2 + \sum_{s \in S \cap (-\infty, 0)} a_s e^{-2\pi i s z} \right), \quad \text{Im } z > 0, \quad (9)$$

where  $a_s$  are the coefficients in (6).

By (7), the series in (9) converges absolutely for every  $z$ ,  $\text{Im } z > 0$ .  
Let us sketch a proof of Lemma 7. It follows from (8) that

$$\frac{\psi'(z)}{\psi(z)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{z - \lambda}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (10)$$

The next step is to check that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{z - \lambda} = -2\pi i \left( a_0/2 + \sum_{s \in S \cap (-\infty, 0)} a_s e^{-2\pi i s z} \right), \quad \text{Im } z > 0. \quad (11)$$

This can be done as follows: For every fixed  $z$ ,  $\text{Im } z > 0$ , set

$$e_z(u) = \begin{cases} 2\pi e^{-2\pi i z u} & u < 0 \\ 0 & u \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

Then the inverse Fourier transform of  $e_z$  is the function  $i/(z - t)$ . Fix any function  $h \in S(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $h(0) = 1$  and the Fourier transform  $H := \widehat{h}$  is even, non-negative and vanishes outside  $(-1, 1)$ . Then use (6) with  $f(t) = h(\epsilon t)/(z - t)$ :

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{h(\epsilon \lambda)}{z - \lambda} = -i \sum_{s \in S} a_s \left( e_z(u) * \frac{1}{\epsilon} H(u/\epsilon) \right)(s).$$

Finally, to prove Lemma 7 one lets  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and checks that the right and left hand-sides above converge to the corresponding sides of (11).

Now, it follows from (9) that there exists  $K \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\psi(z) = K \exp \left( -\pi i a_0 z + \sum_{s \in S \cap (-\infty, 0)} (a_s/s) e^{-2\pi i s z} \right), \quad \text{Im } z > 0.$$

Set

$$p(z) := e^{\pi i a_0 z} \psi(z) / K = \exp \left( \sum_{s \in S \cap (-\infty, 0)} (a_s/s) e^{-2\pi i s z} \right), \quad \text{Im } z > 0. \quad (12)$$

Recall that  $S$  is a locally finite set. Therefore, by (7) the series above converges absolutely for every  $z$ ,  $\text{Im } z > 0$ .

Denote by  $S_k$  the sets

$$S_1 := S \cap (-\infty, 0), \quad S_2 := S_1 + S_1, \quad S_3 := S_1 + S_1 + S_1, \dots$$

Denote by  $a_{s,k}$  the coefficients of the series

$$\frac{1}{k!} \left( \sum_{s \in S \cap (-\infty, 0)} (a_s/s) e^{-2\pi i s z} \right)^k = \sum_{s \in S_k} a_{s,k} e^{-2\pi i s z}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{Im } z > 0.$$

Then by (12) we get a representation

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s \in S_k} a_{s,k} e^{-2\pi i s z},$$

where the double series converges absolutely for every  $z$ ,  $\text{Im } z > 0$ . Set

$$U := \{0\} \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} S_j \subset (-\infty, 0].$$

One may check that  $U$  is a locally finite set and that  $p$  admits a representation

$$p(z) = \sum_{u \in U} d_u e^{-2\pi i u z}, \quad \text{Im } z > 0, \quad (13)$$

where the series converges absolutely.

To prove part (ii) of Theorem 1 it remains to check that the series in the right hand-side of (13) contains only a finite number of terms. This can be done as follows: Since  $\psi$  is an entire

function of order one and finite type, the same is true for  $p$ . By (13),  $p$  is bounded on every line  $\operatorname{Im} z = \operatorname{const} > 0$ . It follows that (see [4, Lecture 6, Theorem 2])  $p$  is an entire function of exponential type, i.e. it satisfies

$$|p(x + iy)| \leq C e^{\sigma|y|}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

with some  $C, \sigma > 0$ . Now, to check that in (13) we have  $d_u = 0$  for every  $u \in U$ ,  $|u| > \sigma$ , one simply integrates both sides against  $e^{2\pi i u z} (\sin \epsilon z / \epsilon z)^2$ , where  $\epsilon > 0$  is so small that  $|u| - \epsilon > \sigma$  and  $U \cap (u - \epsilon, u + \epsilon) = \{u\}$ .

We note that one can extend Theorem 1 to measures with integer masses,

$$\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} c_\lambda \delta_\lambda, \quad c_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda \in \Lambda. \quad (14)$$

### Theorem 8.

- (i) If a measure  $\mu$  of form (14) is an FQ, then there is an exponential polynomial  $p$  of form (2) with real zeros such that  $\Lambda = Z(p)$  and  $c(\lambda)$  is the multiplicity of zero  $\lambda$ .
- (ii) Conversely, let  $p$  be an exponential polynomial of form (2) with real zeros and let  $c(\lambda)$  be the multiplicity of zero  $\lambda$ . Then the measure  $\mu$  of form (14) where  $\Lambda = Z(p)$  is an FQ.

### References

- [1] M. N. Kolountzakis, “Fourier pairs of discrete support with little structure”, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **22** (2016), no. 1, p. 1-5.
- [2] P. Kurasov, P. C. Sarnak, “Stable polynomials and crystalline measures”, *J. Math. Phys.* **61** (2020), no. 8, article no. 083501 (13 pages).
- [3] N. Lev, A. Olevskii, “Quasicrystals with discrete support and spectrum”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **32** (2016), no. 4, p. 1341-1352.
- [4] B. Y. Levin, *Lectures on Entire Functions*, in collab. with yu. lyubarskii, m. sodin, v. tkachenko. transl. by v. tkachenko from an original russian manuscript ed., Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 150, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
- [5] Y. F. Meyer, “Quasicrystals, diophantine approximation and algebraic numbers”, in *Beyond quasicrystals. Papers of the winter school, Les Houches, France, March 7-18, 1994*, Springer, 1995, p. 3-16.
- [6] ———, “Measures with locally finite support and spectrum”, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113** (2016), no. 12, p. 3152-3158.
- [7] ———, “Measures with locally finite support and spectrum”, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **33** (2017), no. 3, p. 1025-1036.
- [8] ———, “Curved model sets and crystalline measures”, to be published in *Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis*, Springer, 2020.
- [9] A. Olevskii, A. Ulanovskii, “Fourier quasicrystals with unit masses”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.12810>, 2020.
- [10] ———, “A Simple Crystalline Measure”, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.12037>, 2020.