Lung function and bronchial hyper-reactivity from 11 to 18 years in children with bronchiolitis in infancy
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Abstract

Background: Various trajectories for lung function and bronchial hyper-reactivity (BHR) from early childhood to adulthood are described, including puberty as a period with excessive lung growth. Bronchiolitis in infancy may be associated with increased risk of developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, but the development of respiratory patterns during puberty is poorly characterized for these children. We aimed to study the development and trajectories of lung function and BHR from 11 to 18 years of age in children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy.

Methods: Infants hospitalized for bronchiolitis at the University Hospitals in Stavanger and Bergen, Norway, during 1997-1998, and an age-matched control group, were included in a longitudinal follow-up study and examined at 11 and 18 years of age with spirometry and methacholine provocation test (MPT). The MPT data were managed as dose-response slope (DRS) in the statistical analyses. Changes in lung function and DRS from 11 to 18 years of age were analyzed by generalized estimating equations, including interaction terms.

Results: z-scores for forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in first second (FEV1), FEV1/FVC ratio, and DRS were not different from 11 to 18 years of age in both the post-bronchiolitis and the control group. The trajectories from 11 to 18 years did not differ between the two groups. BHR at age 11 was independently associated with asthma at age 18.

Conclusion: Children hospitalized for bronchiolitis had stable predicted lung function and BHR from 11 to 18 years of age. The lung function trajectories were not different from controls.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Longitudinal cohort studies have shown that the lung function trajectories throughout a life course vary between individuals and that abnormal lung function trajectories may originate in early life.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) This has been shown both in unselected populations\(^2\)\(^,\)\(^4\) and after infant respiratory disease such as bronchopulmonary dysplasia associated with extreme prematurity.\(^5\) It has therefore been hypothesized that chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) may begin in childhood, conceivably precipitated by interactions between genetic predispositions, disadvantageous intrauterine environments, or early respiratory insults.\(^6\)

Worldwide, bronchiolitis represents a substantial health burden for infants, and it is the most common cause for hospitalization during infancy in developed countries.\(^7\) These children have increased risk of developing asthma, low lung function, and increased bronchial hyper-reactivity (BHR) both during childhood\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^11\) and adulthood,\(^12\)\(^-\)\(^14\) and possibly increased risk of developing COPD.\(^6\) However, we do not know the nature of this association, that is, if it is the bronchiolitis per se that alters the pattern of lung development or if both disorders are caused by inherent predispositions or vulnerabilities of genetic or antenatal origin. Puberty is the period of life with the most excessive lung growth,\(^1,\)\(^15\) but we do not know if bronchiolitis in infancy modulates the development of airway size and hyper-reactivity during the pubertal growth spurt.

We have previously reported lung function data in a cohort of 11-year-old children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in 1997-1998.\(^10\) The present study is based on examinations of the same subjects at age 18 years. We aimed to study if lung function and BHR changed from 11 to 18 years of age in children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy, and whether lung function trajectories during this period was different from an age-matched control group.

2 | METHODS

Originally, 131 children hospitalized for bronchiolitis during their first year of life during the winter seasons 1997 and 1998 at the University Hospitals in Stavanger and Bergen, Norway, were included in a longitudinal prospective follow-up study.\(^16\) Bronchiolitis was defined as an acute viral respiratory tract infection during the first year of life with fever, tachypnea, dyspnea, prolonged expiration, and wheeze on auscultation.\(^17\) In order to avoid including children with other conditions such as viral-induced wheezing and asthma, only children below
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**FIGURE 1** Flowchart of the study population, consisting of children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy and an age-matched control group. The invited children participated in the 11-y examination. 18 y. Second follow-up at median 18 years of age; MPT, Methacholine provocation test. †For one participant, only forced vital capacity (FVC) was considered valid, making n = 31 in calculations of other lung function variables.

**Key Message**

Children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy had stable predicted lung function and bronchial hyper-reactivity (BHR) from 11 to 18 years of age. Lung function trajectories were significantly lower, but parallel to that of an age-matched control group, suggesting that children with former bronchiolitis follow a lung function trajectory below normal peak values during puberty, but that the development between 11 and 18 years of age is parallel to healthy controls with no catch up nor decline. BHR at age 11 was associated with asthma at age 18. Children with severe bronchiolitis in infancy could benefit from regular clinical follow-ups to monitor lung function and development of asthma.
12 months of age were included. At hospitalization, nasopharyngeal mucus was examined for respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) by direct immunofluorescence (bioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France). Children testing positive for RSV were defined as RSV-positive, the others were defined as RSV-negative.

One-hundred and twenty-one children (92%) participated in a first follow-up at 11 years of age, together with an age-matched control group of 141 children. The control group included children born in 1997 with no previous history of hospitalization for bronchiolitis recruited from three different schools in Stavanger, Norway. The 11-year examination included questionnaires and tests for atopic sensitization, lung function, and BHR, as previously reported.

All participants from the original study were invited to a second follow-up at approximately 18 years of age, including questionnaires and clinical tests of lung function, BHR, and atopic sensitization. This study presents results from the children participating at both follow-ups. A total of 108 children from the post-bronchiolitis group and 89 children from the age-matched control group at the 11-year examination were invited to participate in this substudy (Figure 1).

### TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics at 11 and 18 years in children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy and an age-matched control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Post-bronchiolitis group N = 60</th>
<th>Control group N = 40</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys (%)</td>
<td>29 (48.3)</td>
<td>21 (52.5)</td>
<td>.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at hospitalization, mo</td>
<td>4.0 (1.8, 6.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSV-positive bronchiolitis, n (%)</td>
<td>50 (83.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (6.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.5)</td>
<td>.349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever household smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>21 (35.0)</td>
<td>8 (20.0)</td>
<td>.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of atopy, n (%)</td>
<td>45 (75.0)</td>
<td>22 (55.0)</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**First follow-up at 11 y**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Post-bronchiolitis group N = 60</th>
<th>Control group N = 40</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>11.3 (11.0, 11.7)</td>
<td>11.8 (11.3, 12.1)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>148.5 (144.0, 153.8)</td>
<td>149.0 (146.0, 155.8)</td>
<td>.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>38.3 (35.0, 45.4)</td>
<td>40.0 (35.3, 44.9)</td>
<td>.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current asthma, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (15.0)</td>
<td>5 (12.5)</td>
<td>.724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic sensitization, n (%)</td>
<td>12 (20.0)</td>
<td>17 (42.5)</td>
<td>.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Second follow-up at 18 y**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Post-bronchiolitis group N = 60</th>
<th>Control group N = 40</th>
<th>P-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>18.0 (17.0, 18.0)</td>
<td>18.0 (17.0, 18.0)</td>
<td>.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, cm</td>
<td>172.4 (164.4, 180.9)</td>
<td>173.8 (166.5, 181.2)</td>
<td>.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>65.9 (59.9, 75.9)</td>
<td>63.2 (57.8, 72.4)</td>
<td>.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current asthma, n (%)</td>
<td>18 (30.0)</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
<td>.408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma ever, n (%)</td>
<td>23 (38.3)</td>
<td>10 (25.0)</td>
<td>.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic sensitization, n (%)</td>
<td>13 (24.1)</td>
<td>20 (60.6)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis, n (%)</td>
<td>28 (46.7)</td>
<td>24 (60.0)</td>
<td>.191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atopic dermatitis ever, n %</td>
<td>15 (25.0)</td>
<td>14 (35.0)</td>
<td>.280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Bold values denote statistical significance at the P < 0.05 level.

Data are presented as medians (interquartile ranges) unless otherwise stated.

Abbreviations: RSV, Respiratory syncytial virus.

*P-values from Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and Pearson’s chi-square test for dichotomous variables.

†54 subjects in the post-bronchiolitis group and 33 controls underwent allergy tests at the second follow-up at 18 y.
2.2 | Data collection and definitions

Asthma symptoms and medications during the last year were reported by the parents (11-year examination) and study subjects (18-year examination) from questionnaires based on the International Study of Asthma and Allergies in Childhood (ISAAC).24 In addition, at 18 years more detailed data regarding personal and family history of asthma and atopy were collected through questionnaires and supplemented with information from medical records at hospitalization. For details, see Appendix 1.

Asthma ever was defined as positive answer to have you ever been diagnosed with asthma? Current asthma was defined as asthma ever combined with a positive answer to at least one of the two questions: (a) Have you during the last 12 months had heavy breathing or wheezing/chest-tightness and (b) Have you during the last 12 months used any asthma medications (inhaled corticosteroids, long- or short-acting beta-2 agonists, montelukast, ipratropium bromide, or any combinations).

2.3 | Ethics

The study was approved by the Regional Committee on Medical Research Ethics. Signed statements of informed consent were obtained from all participants and from parents if the participants were younger than 18 years of age.

2.4 | Statistical analysis

Continuous variables are presented as group means with 95% confidence intervals, and were compared by Student’s t test or as medians and interquartile range (IQR) and compared by Mann–Whitney U test, as appropriate. Categorical variables are presented as counts
TABLE 3: Change in lung function variables from 11 to 18 y of age in children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy and an age-matched control group, presented as mean change with 95% CI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Post-bronchiolitis</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Interaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean change (95% CI)</td>
<td>P-value*</td>
<td>Mean change (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, z-score</td>
<td>-0.09 (-0.31, 0.13)</td>
<td>.442</td>
<td>-0.14 (-0.53, 0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV₁, z-score †</td>
<td>-0.08 (-0.30, 0.14)</td>
<td>.454</td>
<td>-0.15 (-0.55, 0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, z-score</td>
<td>-0.24 (-0.51, 0.03)</td>
<td>.076</td>
<td>-0.24 (-0.61, 0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC, z-score †</td>
<td>-0.23 (-0.49, 0.04)</td>
<td>.089</td>
<td>-0.26 (-0.63, 0.12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV/FVC, z-score</td>
<td>0.18 (-0.14, 0.50)</td>
<td>.263</td>
<td>0.10 (-0.22, 0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV/FVC, z-score †</td>
<td>0.17 (-0.15, 0.49)</td>
<td>.301</td>
<td>0.12 (-0.20, 0.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF₂₅₋₇₅, z-score</td>
<td>0.25 (0.00, 0.50)</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td>0.21 (-0.13, 0.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEF₂₅₋₇₅, z-score †</td>
<td>0.24 (-0.00, 0.49)</td>
<td>.054</td>
<td>0.21 (-0.13, 0.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnDRS</td>
<td>0.17 (-0.29, 0.64)</td>
<td>.465</td>
<td>0.11 (-0.42, 0.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LnDRS †</td>
<td>0.17 (-0.29, 0.63)</td>
<td>.471</td>
<td>0.10 (0.43, 0.62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Bold values denote statistical significance at the P < 0.05 level.

DRS (%/µmol) is the ratio of maximum percentage decline in FEV₁ from baseline to cumulative administered dose (µmol) of methacholine. Due to highly skewed distribution, DRS was transformed using the natural logarithm. The group-wise mean changes were estimated in generalized estimating equation (GEE) models including interaction terms group*time to test for unequal trajectories in controls and post-bronchiolitis. A positive mean change indicates that z-scores were higher at 18 than 11 y of age.

Abbreviations: CI, Confidence interval; DRS, Methacholine dose–response slope; FEF₂₅₋₇₅, Forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of the forced vital capacity; FEV₁, Forced expiratory volume in first second; FVC, Forced vital capacity.

*P-values from Wald test.
†Adjusted for family history of asthma or atopy, atopic sensitization at 11 y of age, and asthma at 11 y of age.

and percentages, and differences were tested by Pearson’s chi-square test. Lung function and DRS to methacholine at both follow-ups were compared by generalized estimating equations (GEE). Interaction terms (group*time) were applied to test divergent development of lung function and DRS between the post-bronchiolitis and control group from 11 to 18 years of age, and the analyses were adjusted for atopic sensitization and asthma at 11 years of age as well as family history of asthma or atopy. The distribution of the DRS to methacholine was highly skewed and therefore transformed using the natural logarithm, after negative values were set to zero and 0.1 was added to all DRS values. A Cox regression analysis allowing for correlation between repeated tests of the same individuals was used to analyze the proportion of non-responders at each cumulative dose of methacholine.

The association between BHR at 11 years and current asthma at 18 years was analyzed by multivariable logistic regression analysis. LnDRS was included as explanatory variable, and the analyses were adjusted for the following covariates measured at 11 years: group variable, gender, z-score FEV₁, and current asthma.

Analyses were carried out using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Corp.) and Stata version 15.1 (StataCorp LLC). Generally, P-values ≤ .05 were considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

Sixty children (56%) in the post-bronchiolitis group and 40 (45%) in the control group consented to participate, and 54 (50%) in the post-bronchiolitis group and 33 (37%) controls consented to clinical tests at the 18-year examination (Figure 1). One control and one in the post-bronchiolitis group failed to complete spirometry according to standard quality criteria, leaving 53 (49%) and 32 (36%) individuals with results from spirometry, respectively. One control had low peak expiratory flow and only acceptable FVC, and not valid MPT. In the post-bronchiolitis group, MPT was not valid in one subject and not performed in three subjects due to contraindications, hence 49 (45%) participants in the post-bronchiolitis group and 31 (35%) controls had acceptable MPT (Figure 1).

Baseline characteristics of both groups are presented in Table 1. There were no differences regarding, age, gender, weight, and length between the two groups. In the post-bronchiolitis group, 83% had been hospitalized with RSV-positive bronchiolitis. Atopic sensitization was more common in the control group than in the post-bronchiolitis group at both follow-ups.

3.1 | Lung function and bronchial hyper-reactivity

Lung function and DRS to methacholine in both groups and at both ages are presented in Table 2. Children in the post-bronchiolitis group had lower FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, FEF₂₅₋₇₅, and higher DRS than controls at both follow-ups.

For both groups, there were no significant changes in z-scores for forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in first second (FEV₁), or FEV₁/FVC ratio between 11 and 18 years of age (Table 3). In the post-bronchiolitis group, but not in the control group, z-scores for forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of FVC (FEF₂₅₋₇₅) was higher at 18 than
11 years of age. Change in absolute lung function variables from 11 to 18 years of age is presented in Table S1 and commented in Appendix 2. We found no significant interaction between age and group, meaning that the trajectories of lung function and DRS from 11 to 18 years of age did not differ between the post-bronchiolitis and the control group neither in the unadjusted nor in the adjusted analyses (Table 3, Table S1, Figures 2 and 3). Furthermore, there were no significant interactions between age, group, and gender, that is, we found no differences between boys and girls in how bronchiolitis in infancy affected the trajectories of lung function and BHR from 11 to 18 years of age. There was no significant interaction effect between group and age by Cox regression ($P = .988$) or from the GEE analysis (Table 3), and we found no differences in the trajectories for DRS from 11 to 18 years of age between the post-bronchiolitis and control groups.

In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, BHR at age 11 was independently associated with current asthma at age 18 (OR 1.88; 95% CI 1.22-2.89, $P = .004$).

4 | DISCUSSION

The present study shows that lung function $z$-scores and BHR were stable from 11 to 18 years of age in children hospitalized for bronchiolitis during their first year of life, and that the trajectories for lung function and BHR from 11 to 18 years of age were not different from the control group. These results applied for both boys and girls. BHR at age 11 was independently associated with asthma at age 18.

In this rather small cohort, lung function was lower and BHR was higher after bronchiolitis in infancy compared to age-matched controls with no such history both at 11 and at 18 years of age. This is in line with previous follow-up studies during childhood, and
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**FIGURE 2** $z$-scores for lung function variables presented as estimated marginal means with 95% confidence intervals at 11 and 18 y of age in subjects hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy and controls. Results from generalized estimating equation (GEE) analysis. The $x$-axis depicts age, and the $y$-axis depicts mean $z$-scores. The black lines represent spirometric scores for the post-bronchiolitis group and the gray lines represent spirometric scores for the control group. 11 y: First follow-up at median 11 years of age; 18 y, Second follow-up at median 18 years of age; FEV₁, Forced expiratory volume in first second; FVC, Forced vital capacity; FEF₂₅₋₇₅, Forced expiratory flow between 25% and 75% of the forced vital capacity.
similar pattern has also been shown in adults with a history of bronchiolitis in early childhood.\textsuperscript{13,14,26} However, this issue was not the focus of the present study, rather our aim was to study the trajectories of lung function and BHR during the important pubertal growth spurt.

Although there are suggestions that childhood respiratory illnesses, such as viral bronchiolitis, predispose to subsequent asthma and COPD,\textsuperscript{6} only a few longitudinal studies include repeated measurements of lung function and/or BHR during childhood and up to young adulthood in children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy.\textsuperscript{12‐14} As far as we know, none of these previous post-bronchiolitis studies have investigated lung function and/or BHR longitudinally during the important transitional period from childhood to young adulthood.

During childhood and adolescence, the lung function trajectories are characterized by a growth phase reaching a peak after puberty at 18-25 years of age, followed by a plateau phase, and finally a decline linked to physiological aging.\textsuperscript{1} Some children following a trajectory below normal may have catch-up of lung function during childhood and adolescence.\textsuperscript{1} Unselected population cohort studies have shown that lung function trajectories contributing to COPD include both early and persistent low lung function as well as accelerated decline in adulthood.\textsuperscript{3} The results from the present study suggest that children with former bronchiolitis during puberty follow a lung function trajectory below normal peak values, but that the development between 11 and 18 years of age is parallel to healthy controls with no catch-up nor decline. The results support a notion that low lung function and increased BHR, which has been observed at different ages after bronchiolitis, are features that are established in early life, either due to airway damage caused by the respiratory insult during bronchiolitis or that these abnormalities are already present prior to the respiratory event, as also suggested by others.\textsuperscript{6} The accelerated growth and pubertal period is also characterized by a shift from male-dominated childhood asthma to female-dominated adult asthma.\textsuperscript{15,27} We did not find that gender affected the impact of former bronchiolitis on the lung function trajectories during puberty.

Similar lung function trajectories, as observed in the present study, have been found in young adults/adolescents after other early respiratory insults such as repeated episodes of viral wheeze and extreme prematurity.\textsuperscript{4,5} The Tucson cohort included children with viral wheeze up to the age of 3 years, and found that patterns of wheezing prevalence and levels of lung function were established by the age of six and did not change significantly by the age 16 years.\textsuperscript{4,28} Similarly, a Norwegian longitudinal cohort study from mid-childhood to adulthood showed that individuals born extremely preterm consistently had lower lung function and increased BHR compared to term-born controls, and that the trajectories from 10 to 25 years of age were parallel and irrespective of the degree of bronchopulmonary dysplasia.\textsuperscript{5}

We found a tendency for increased z-scores for FEF\textsubscript{25-75} from 11 to 18 years in the post-bronchiolitis group, but when tested in interaction terms, this development did not differ from the control group. The finding must be further elaborated in larger longitudinally studies, but may at least support that lung function does not decline in this group during puberty.

Bronchiolitis is associated with subsequent asthma both in children and adults, and BHR is a fundamental characteristic of asthma.\textsuperscript{10,12} We found that BHR was stable from 11 to 18 years of age in the post-bronchiolitis group, and the trajectory did not differ from controls. However, we found that BHR at 11 years was associated with current
asthma at 18 years, also when adjusting for asthma at age 11. The association between BHR and subsequent asthma is in line with the results from a Norwegian unselected birth cohort study. The finding supports the speculation that BHR might be an independent and possibly inborn feature that can be causally related to bronchiolitis as well as to subsequent development of asthma. The clinical implication could be that children with severe BHR may benefit from regular clinical follow-ups to monitor if asthma develops later in life.

### 4.1 | Strength and limitations

The main strength of this study is the longitudinal design, and the main weakness is the modest participation rate and power, increasing the risk of selection bias and false-negative results. The lack of lung function data from infancy and early childhood precluded as‐
ing the risk of selection bias and false‐negative results. The lack of

### 5 | CONCLUSION

This longitudinal study shows that lung function z‐scores and BHR were stable from 11 to 18 years of age in children hospitalized for bronchiolitis in infancy, following trajectories that were significantly lower, but parallel to those of the control group in both boys and girls. BHR at age 11 was associated with asthma at age 18. Further long‐term follow‐up studies are needed to study if, and possibly to what extent, children with former bronchiolitis have increased risk of developing COPD.
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APPENDIX 1

ATOPIC SENSITIZATION

Atopic sensitization at 11 years of age was defined by a positive skin prick test (SPT) for at least one allergen (wheat diameter ≥3 mm larger than the negative control), and at 18 years of age as a positive SPT or specific immunoglobulin E (IgE) ≥ 0.35 kU/L for at least one allergen. Both tests included the following allergens: Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus, dog and cat dander, Cladosporium herbarium, birch, timothy, egg white, milk, peanut, hazelnut, and codfish. The SPT was performed with Soluprick® allergens (ALK Albello, Hørsholm, Denmark). Histamine (10 mg/mL) was used as a positive control and a 0.9% saline solution as a negative control. For analysis of specific IgE, blood was drawn and serum stored at -70°C and analyzed by Phadiatop®, fxSE®, and by specific IgE when positive at the Department of Medical Biochemistry, Stavanger University Hospital.

DEFINITIONS AND QUESTIONNAIRES

At the 18-year examination, more detailed data regarding personal and family history of asthma and atopy were collected through questionnaires and supplemented with information from medical records at hospitalization. Atopic dermatitis was defined as a positive answer to have you ever had atopic dermatitis. Family history of asthma or allergic diseases was defined as a positive answer to do you know if your mother, father, or siblings have or have had atopic dermatitis, asthma, or positive allergy tests. Ever household smoking was defined as a positive answer to do/did anyone smoke in your home. Smoking in participants was defined as a positive answer to do you smoke. Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis was defined as a positive answer to have you ever had runny or itching nose and/or eyes apart from colds.

APPENDIX 2

CHANGE IN ABSOLUTE LUNG FUNCTION

The change in lung function between 11 and 18 years adjusted for gender, age, and height is best expressed by z-scores as given in the article (Table 3). As the changes in absolute lung function may add some clinical information, these are presented in Table S1. The results show that the absolute lung function values apart from the ratio for FEV1/FVC were higher at 18 than 11 years of age. In line with the results from the analyses using z-scores, there were no significant interaction effects between age and group, underlining that the changes in lung function from 11 to 18 years did not differ between the control and post-bronchiolitis group.