To cite: Moore A, Knutsen

with presenteeism and the

potential consequences on

patient safety: a qualitative

study among nurses at out-

of-hours emergency primary

Prepublication history for

this paper is available online.

To view these files, please visit

the journal online (http://dx.doi.

org/10.1136/bmjopen-2023-

Received 29 May 2023

Accepted 31 October 2023

076136).

2023;13:e076136. doi:10.1136/

care facilities. BMJ Open

bmjopen-2023-076136

Glette M. Nurses' experience

# **BMJ Open** Nurses' experience with presenteeism and the potential consequences on patient safety: a qualitative study among nurses at out-of-hours emergency primary care facilities

Angelena Moore <sup>1</sup>, <sup>1</sup> Malin Knutsen Glette <sup>2</sup>

### ABSTRACT

**Objectives** This study aimed to gain new insight and knowledge on out-of-hours emergency primary care nurses' experience of presenteeism in their workplace and their outlook on the impact they recognised the phenomenon to have on patient safety when caring for acute patients.

**Design** An explorative qualitative study.

**Setting** The study was conducted at three out-of-hours primary care facilities in southwest Norway.

**Participants** A total of 10 female nurses were recruited as interviewees. Nurses providing direct patient care were included in the study.

Results The analysis resulted in four major themes: strong work ethics influence the decision to attend work unwell: work environment factors have a negative impact on nurses' health; nurses' awareness of consequences on the quality of care and patient safety and nurses make use of coping strategies when engaging in presenteeism. Conclusion Presenteeism is a common experience among nurses at out-of-hours emergency primary care clinics, with work-related stress being a significant contributing factor. Despite recognising a decrease in performance while engaging in presenteeism, nurses displayed adaptive behaviour. They were confident that their suboptimal health issues did not significantly impact patient safety while caring for acute patients. However, the true impact of presenteeism on patient safety in an outof-hours emergency care setting remains uncertain due to the reliance on subjective reporting systems as quality indicators. More research is needed to understand the phenomenon and its implications on patient safety fully.

### BACKGROUND

Presenteeism refers to employees' 'physical presence at work when one should not be due to one's health and well-being, stressful work environment, lack of work–life balance or sense of professional identity or obligation.'<sup>1</sup> The phenomenon is a significant concern for organisations as it can lead to decreased productivity and increased costs.<sup>2</sup> A search on Embase, CINAHL and Medline databases

### STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The flexibility of the explorative research design has enhanced access to a hitherto uninvestigated topic.
- ⇒ The scope of the study is small and includes a limited sample of nurses from a restricted area of the country, which may impair the generalisability to a broader population.
- $\Rightarrow$  The study lacks gender diversity among the participants.

revealed extensive research on presenteeism within healthcare across borders. Based on the literature review, it was found that the phenomenon is associated with reduced quality of care, increased risk of adverse events such as patient falls and medication errors, reduced compliance to procedures, missed care, impaired team performance and additional health issues for nurses.<sup>3–6</sup>

Research on presenteeism has been conducted in various contexts. However, to our knowledge, no studies currently look at nurses' working in out-of-hours (OOH) emergency primary care experiences of presenteeism. Nurses in OOH clinics are responsible for making medical assessments, determining the level of urgency for required medical attention, implementing care measures and providing telephone advice to callers.<sup>7</sup> These clinics are fast-paced and dynamic environments. Urgent decision-making in specific scenarios requires a focused and alert mindset to maintain high-quality patient care and safety. There is, therefore, a need for a comprehensive understanding of presenteeism within a prehospital OOH emergency primary care setting to gain knowledge on a potentially existing but underinvestigated threat towards patient safety.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Caring and

BMJ.

C Author(s) (or their

employer(s)) 2023. Re-use

permitted under CC BY-NC. No

commercial re-use. See rights

and permissions. Published by

Ethics, University of Stavanger, Faculty of Health Sciences, Department of Caring and Ethics, Stavanger, Norway <sup>2</sup>SHARE - Center of Resilience in Healthcare, University of Stavanger, Faculty of Health Sciences, Stavanger, Norway

Check for updates

#### **Correspondence to** Angelena Moore; angelena.moore@uis.no

### Resilience in healthcare

Resilience in healthcare (RiH) is a patient safety perspective, which includes the actions and adjustments individuals and organisations make in order to maintain the healthcare systems' regular operation in the face of disturbances (eg, reduced staffing, increased patient flow, lack of resources), and moreover, the ability to anticipate potential disturbances, adapt their work and to make sense of the situation.<sup>8</sup>

OOH facilities are complex, unpredictable and rapidly changing environments.<sup>9</sup> RiH can contribute to an increased understanding of how OOH nurses respond to and cope with disturbances in everyday work when they engage in presenteeism and, further, how their reactions influence patient safety.

#### Aim and research question

This study aimed to explore nurses' experience with presenteeism and how they found that working in less than-good health affects patient safety. More specifically, the study sought to gain new insight and knowledge on OOH emergency primary care nurses' experience of presenteeism in their work environment and their outlook on the impact they recognised the phenomenon to have on patient safety when caring for acute patients.

The following research question guided the study:

How do nurses experience presenteeism, and how do they perceive its potential consequences on patient safety when caring for acutely ill and injured patients in a Norwegian out-of-hours emergency primary care setting?

### **METHODS**

This study applied an explorative qualitative study design to allow for an in-depth investigation of nurses' experiences with presentism and how they perceived presentism to affect patient safety.<sup>10</sup> The explorative approach provided the required flexibility (eg, adjusting research questions or sampling strategies based on newfound information) when searching for new knowledge in areas with little previous research.<sup>11</sup>

### **Epistemological underpinnings**

Heidegger's phenomenology inspired the study process, as its purpose was to grasp the phenomenon (presenteeism) from a nurse's viewpoint within an OOH setting while still acknowledging the researcher's role and influence.<sup>12</sup> The study was further influenced by Gadamers' hermeneutic philosophy (the hermeneutic circle), appreciating that this concept is valuable and closely related to content analysis: taking a mass of data, pulling it apart, and putting it together again as a new understanding.<sup>13</sup> Adopting these frameworks of phenomenology and hermeneutic philosophy allows us to gain knowledge from the experiences of others.<sup>14</sup> The philosophical underpinnings of this study were, in other words, closely

intertwined with the problem under study and inspired the data analysis.

The study had a deductive approach. The study aimed to explore a population's (OOH nurses) experience of a phenomenon (presenteeism) by providing them with the definition of the phenomenon and then gathering their experience and perceptions related to it.<sup>12</sup>

### Context

In Norway, primary healthcare services are provided to all citizens by municipalities through the Municipal Health and Care Act of 2011.<sup>15</sup> These services include round-the-clock care for patients with urgent or acute illnesses or injuries and are mainly provided by OOH clinics when general practitioners' (GPs) offices are closed. The organisational structure of OOH services varies slightly across the country as municipalities have discretion within specific regulations. Typically, groups of GPs, both small and large, rotate the responsibility of caring for the patient population of all group members.<sup>16</sup> As of 2022, there were 168 OOH clinics in Norway.<sup>17</sup> The OOH clinics provide medical assistance to patients of all ages and a wide range of diagnoses.<sup>7</sup>

### Recruitment

This study was conducted at three OOH emergency primary care facilities in southwest Norway (one mediumsized and two smaller facilities). These facilities were recruited through email correspondence with the head nurses. All included facilities offered telenursing and walk-in services and were staffed with physicians and registered nurses (RNs). Participants were recruited using convenient sampling and snowball sampling.<sup>18</sup> The head nurses distributed a formal request for voluntary participation to staff groups containing the researcher's contact details. In addition to the initial recruitment strategy, personal contacts and, by then, already recruited nurses were engaged in the final recruiting process. The participants were introduced to the details of the study through an information letter sent by email after expressing a desire to participate. Participation was entirely voluntary; no incentives were offered, and participants were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time without facing negative consequences.

### **Participants**

Ten RNs working in OOH clinics were included in the study. To be eligible for participation, individuals had to meet the following criteria: (1) meet the requirements as an RN, (2) engage in direct patient care at one of the selected OOH clinics and (3) be employed at the clinic for a minimum period of 2 years. All recruited nurses were women between the ages of 25 and 49 (table 1).

### **Data collection**

Ten semistructured individual interviews were conducted during the spring of 2022. Each interview lasted an average of 45 min and was conducted by the first author (AM). The interviewer had broad experience as an OOH nurse and,

Table 1     Participant characteristics (n=10)				
Sample	Mean	Range		
Age	40 years	25–49 years		
Gender	Female: 10			
	Male: 0			
Experiences as RN	14.5 years	3.5–25 years		
Experience at OOH	10.5 years	4-21 years		
Further education	Yes: 3			
	No: 3			
	Ongoing: 4			
Work percentage	65%	20%–100%		
	Nightshift: 1			
	Evening: 5			
	Day/evening: 3			
	Day/evening/night: 1			
OOH. out-of-hour: RN. r	egistered nurse.			

therefore, needed to be continuously reflexive towards her research role and potential bias while conducting the interviews.<sup>18</sup> An interview guide consisting of open-ended questions was applied. The guide covered the aspects related to participants' experience with absenteeism and presenteeism within their workplace and their experience and perception of the consequences presenteeism had or could have on patient safety while treating acutely ill or injured patients. AM verified answers throughout the interviews and the participants were allowed to give supplement information at the end of each interview.<sup>20</sup> MKG, who has a different research and clinical background, participated in the interview guide development and oversaw interview transcripts to limit potential bias relating to the interviewers' role. The interview guide was piloted on one RN who met the inclusion criteria to assess its applicability. All interviews were recorded, stored encrypted, and later transcribed and anonymised.

### **Data analysis**

The first author (AM) initiated a step-by-step analysis using Graneheim and Lundmans<sup>21</sup> approach to qualitative content analysis. First, all transcripts were read to gain familiarity and obtain a sense of the whole. Second, the data was re-read to retrieve content meaning units relevant to the research question.<sup>21</sup> The content meaning units were organised in a Word table. The third step involved condensing words and sentences to catch the essence of the quotes. The significant meanings were labelled with codes, and codes with uniform meanings related to the research question were then sorted into categories.<sup>21</sup> All the data were shared and discussed with the coauthor (MKG), and the final categories and themes were generated in collaboration (see table 2 for an example of the analysis process).

### Patient and public involvement

No patients or members of the public were involved in the planning, the design or the conduction of the research.

## RESULTS

The analysis identified four main themes. Two were related to the nurses' experience with presenteeism: (1) strong work ethics influence the decision to attend work when unwell and (2) work environment factors have a negative impact on nurse health. There are two themes linked to the potential consequences that presenteeism may have on patient safety: (3) nurses' awareness of the consequences of presenteeism on the quality of care and patient safety and (4) nurses make use of coping strategies when engaging in presenteeism.

## Strong work ethics influence the decision to attend work when unwell

The interviews revealed that most nurses were unfamiliar with the term presenteeism, or sick presence, as translated into Norwegian. A clear definition of the phenomenon used in the study was provided early in the interview.

There was a mutual agreement among the nurses that the threshold to call in sick was high, and most of the nurses admitted that they had attended work in less-thanoptimal health on one or more occasions. Before the COVID-19 pandemic, coming to work with a cold and fever was reported as frequent. They perceived conditions qualifying for sick leave as physical illnesses, such as severe migraines and contagious conditions, such as gastroenteritis. For some nurses, mental issues were less justifiable for absence.

People should stay home if they are sick, but at the same time, you can understand if it is a life situation or something mentally challenging [that is the cause of illness]. It is difficult to justify staying at home. (Participant 5)

It was evident from the interviews that absenteeism levels varied between the clinics. Those nurses who observed low sick leave rates associated them with a positive work

Table 2     Example of the analytical process						
Theme	Category	Code	Condensed meaning unit	Meaning unit		
T1: Nurses show strong work ethics	Nurses are conscientious towards their colleagues.	Conscience	Work harder for colleagues.	'I felt, and I believe others did too-we just had to step it up.'		
	Nurses show obligation towards their workplace.	Obligation	Take medicine to be able to work	'We chuck down painkillers and go to work.'		

environment, a strong work ethic and effective leadership. Regarding presenteeism, nurses felt a moral obligation to their colleagues, influencing their decision to come to work even when ill. They expressed guilt as their absence could lead to understaffing and increased workload for their colleagues. This was especially prominent during holidays when staffing levels were already low, and it threatened the nurses' annual leave.

I felt, and I believe others did too—we just had to step it up [avoiding sick absences and covering unexpected vacant shifts]. (Participant 1)

In addition to a common understanding of a high level of persistence before calling in sick, one nurse stated that nurses refrained from accepting notes of sick leave from their GPs as this was regarded as embarrassing. The nurses also described a culture of taking medication to treat pain and fever to go to work.

That's the way it is in the healthcare system. We chuck down painkillers and go to work. You have to be pretty unwell before you call in sick. (Participant 8)

However, most nurses described a positive and supportive work culture. For some nurses, the fellowship was a weighed factor for coming to work. They claimed that staying at work while having mental issues positively affected their health.

We have an open culture. You can always talk to people. You can cry if you need to. You feel cared for, and I believe that this is the reason why sick leave rates are low. (Participant 4)

The interviews revealed that the leadership significantly impacted the nurses' decision to attend work or not. The nurses demonstrated an allegiance to their leaders and a craving for recognition. The leaders' attitudes towards the nurses when they reported sickness were crucial. Some participants believed that a few nurses might hesitate to call in sick if their workplace policies require them to report their absence directly to the head nurse rather than their colleagues. In some instances, going to work was more practical than taking time off.

A leader's attitude means a lot. I have experienced that a former leader of mine sighed heavily on the phone once when I called in sick. That doesn't make it easy calling in those times you can't go to work, but then you have those leaders who help you express your feelings, and despite not feeling your best, you come to work and try. (Participant 8)

## Work environment factors have a negative impact on nurse health

The nurses recognise working in an OOH facility as demanding. In contrast to many other healthcare settings, the OOH nurses stated that they had to make independent professional nursing assessments over the telephone and initiate responses according to the urgency of need. The interviews identified this as the cause of high job stress. When asked about the most common reasons for presenteeism in their workplace, many nurses answered colds, migraines and pregnancies. However, one nurse answered:

A lot of it is due to mental exertion. Taking all those calls is straining, and you are constantly assessing and prioritising. The work pressure is high, and you're juggling quite a few patients at once. (Participant 4)

There was a common perception among the nurses that COVID-19 had exceedingly added to the work stress. The extreme restrictions applied by the government led to staffing challenges, and the nurses experienced high volumes of work for an extensive length of time. The nurses experienced that the work was overwhelming and expressed feelings of fatigue. These findings were most prominent among the nurses from the larger OOH. They described symptoms of presenteeism such as problems concentrating, impaired ability to focus and reduced memory.

I have developed memory problems. If I don't do things at once, then I forget them. I put a note in my pocket, which stays there [throughout the day] because I forget it. (Participant 1)

Among the various sources of stress, the study found that insufficient staffing levels were the most challenging for the nurses. In addition to taking on more hours voluntarily, nurses were forced to work mandatory overtime.

We were constantly afraid of being forced to take a shift. We hid in the corridors. Nobody answered their phones, so they had to use the nurses that were already present. They may already have worked full time, had worked all week, and were forced to work the weekend before a new full week. (Participant 3)

The staffing shortages also increased the nurses' reluctance to announce their absence when feeling unwell.

Another identified aspect was the connection between presenteeism and the payment system. In addition to a basic salary, Norwegian RNs receive extra pay for working on public holidays. One participant expressed that being a nurse was a low-wage occupation and would go to great lengths to avoid sick leave on well-paid shifts. Well into the pandemic, Norwegian nurses were offered an additional bonus for taking on more hours, increasing wages by up to 300% under certain circumstances. Some nurses expressed concern that these financial rewards fostered presenteeism. Nurses already showing signs of exhaustion proceeded to take on more hours due to the extraordinarily high pay.

You get so much more money than a regular shift that you feel you have to get to work, even if you have to pull yourself by the hair. (Participant 10)

## 6

# Nurses' awareness of the consequences of presenteeism on the quality of care and patient safety

When engaging in presenteeism, nurses described adverse effects such as higher levels of stress and attitude issues like lack of empathy and being unpolite to patients. Some claimed that their reduced health had a negative impact on their communication skills and that they sometimes had problems following patient conversations. Several nurses admitted they only completed necessary tasks when sick, would do them the most straightforward way, or sometimes skipped them altogether. An impaired ability to focus could hinder the nurses in detecting early signs of patient deterioration. However, most nurses denied knowing of any occasions where their deficient nurse performance significantly impacted patients. Only one nurse stated:

Personally, I have experienced receiving a formal complaint, thinking that the event occurred on a day where I shouldn't have been at work. (Participant 7)

The nurses acknowledged that presenteeism could cause adverse events and impact patient safety. Examples such as giving the wrong medication or administrating the drug incorrectly were presented. Naturally, amid a pandemic, attention was also drawn to nurses contributing to disease transmission. Furthermore, the nurses highlighted the danger of not detecting signs of deterioration due to a lack of concentration on details. One of the nurses described the possibility of missing concealed injuries due to a poor patient examination. Some nurses suggested that working in less-than-optimal health may risk conducting inadequate assessments over the telephone, failing to recognise the seriousness of the patient's condition, and not initiating the right level of care.

It's first and foremost assessing and prioritising. If you do something wrong here, this will delay patient care and cause the situation to become a lot worse than it needed to be. (Participant 4)

Although they displayed considerable knowledge of the ramifications of presenteeism, most nurses perceived the danger as insignificant.

Potentially yes, but I believe the risk is small [of adverse events]. In that case, a lot of unfortunate factors would have to strike at the same time. (Participant 8)

A few of the nurses narrated examples of adverse events in which, in one case, a medical error resulted in the patient being hospitalised. In this case, it appeared that presenteeism may have influenced the outcome. However, as far as the participant knew, this was not concluded. One of the nurses with additional administrative tasks stated:

I have possibly never considered a potential link between presenteeism and complaints. It will probably be in the back of my mind now whether or not the nurse was in shape to be at work. (Participant 8)

# Nurses make use of coping strategies when engaging in presenteeism

The participants presented several strategies for addressing presenteeism. Foremost, the majority of the nurses emphasised the importance of informing colleagues of the situation. Alongside this, nurses would hand over responsibilities, avoid being team leaders, and, if possible, choose other tasks not involving physical patient contact if suffering from contagious conditions. When participants were aware of a colleague's presenteeism, they would, if possible, refrain from asking that nurse for assistance. Furthermore, nurses were likely more adherent to triage tools such as the Norwegian Index of Emergency Medical Dispatch when conducting patient assessments over the telephone. When treating acute patients at the OOH facility, the participants said they would physically lay the procedure before them.

If I feel tired or weary at work and I'm caring for an acute sick patient, I at least quickly find the protocol, for example, anaphylaxis [a potentially lifethreatening allergic reaction]. On a good day, I'll remember how many milligrams of stuff [medication], but on a day like that, I would rather find the protocol and have it in black and white in front of me before doing anything. (Participant 5)

The most surprising finding was that all nurses were confident that regardless of the cause of their presenteeism, their health issues would not impact patient safety while treating acutely ill or injured patients. They justified this by expressing the effects excitement and increased adrenaline levels had on the body.

I think I just get a little bit more worked up. You get sharpened and focused. My critical care brain just turns on in a different way than when dealing with a pearl up the nose. (Participant 1)

## DISCUSSION

This study aimed to explore how OOH nurses experience presenteeism and how they found that working in less-than-good health affects patient safety. The key findings suggest that OOH nurses engage in presenteeism and that the threshold to call in sick is high. The most common reasons for this behaviour were identified as respiratory infections, migraines, or health issues related to pregnancy. The behaviour was linked to feelings of guilt towards their colleagues and their strong work ethics. A stressful work environment contributed to symptoms resembling high stress (eg, fatigue, concentration difficulties and memory lapse). The nurses recognised that sick presence could impact patient care and safety (eg, vector of disease, failing to detect signs of deterioration and missing concealed injuries). However, despite experiencing health issues, the nurses developed coping strategies (eg, open communication, avoiding responsibilities and physical patient contact, and enhanced protocol adherence). They believed their behaviour did not significantly impact patient safety while caring for acutely ill or injured patients.

### Presentism among emergency care nurses

While not quantified, the findings still suggest a high incidence of presenteeism among the OOH nurses. These results are supported by the existing body of knowledge of nurse presentism in other contexts.<sup>22</sup> Moreover, a study by Aronsson *et al*<sup>23</sup> found that nurses engaged in emergency patient care exhibited higher presenteeism levels than other occupations.

According to the data collected in this study, OOH nurses link their presenteeism to guilt towards their colleagues, showing concern that their sick absence would most likely lead to a shortage of staff and an increased workload for the remaining nurses. These findings are consistent with previous research, such as a similar study conducted by Fiorini et al,<sup>24</sup> who also identified attitudes towards coworkers as a reason for engaging in presenteeism in a geriatric setting. This demeanour is closely related to staffing issues in healthcare services worldwide<sup>25</sup> and the growing concern about a potential global shortage of nurses in the future.<sup>26</sup> What is more, insufficient nurse staffing levels have been associated with adversities such as nurse burn-out,<sup>27</sup> increased levels of healthcare-associated infections in patients,<sup>28</sup> and missed care,<sup>29</sup> and are, overall, considered a possible threat to patient safety.<sup>30</sup> This demonstrates the dual negative effect presenteeism may have on patient safety: nurses being faced with the decision of whether to go to work in suboptimal health and risk adverse events such as medication errors, impaired teamwork, poorer quality of care, inadequate documentation and acting as a vector of disease<sup>6 31-34</sup> or to take sick leave and potentially contribute to understaffing. Research suggests a link between stress, responsibility and presenteeism.<sup>35</sup> However, more research is needed.

#### Work-related presenteeism

Similar to previous research, the nurses described migraines, health ailments associated with pregnancy, common colds and mental health issues as common antecedents to presenteeism.<sup>23 33</sup>

Additionally, this study identified a correlation between a stressful work environment and impaired health. The nurses exhibited signs resembling work-related stress, such as memory problems, fatigue and mental exertion. These issues were particularly prevalent during the COVID-19 pandemic. This is supported by D'emeh *et al.*<sup>36</sup> The relationship between work stress and impaired health among nurses has been well established.<sup>37–39</sup> Our findings are consistent with the model of presenteeism in nursing proposed by Rainbow *et al*,<sup>40</sup> which suggests that work conditions are closely related to the health of nursing staff.

Furthermore, research suggests that work-stress-related presenteeism adversely affects patient care and safety due

to an increased risk of errors, as nurses are more prone to make poor decisions.<sup>3 41</sup> Moreover, previous research has linked presenteeism to additional health problems for nurses.<sup>4 33 42</sup> For example, in an American cross-sectional survey, nurses reported that prolonged sick attendance could worsen their health, induced by exhaustion, lack of time for self-care and recovery, and feeling ineffective.<sup>33</sup> Interestingly, this contrasts with the findings in this study, where some of the nurses expressed a positive experience of taking part in work despite facing personal mental tension. This is, however, in line with a newly conducted study which claims that presenteeism has a positive side that is underexplored. $^{43}$  The authors state that working through an illness can positively affect nurses' health and well-being and that abstaining from work is not always recommended.<sup>43</sup> It can be discussed if promoting presenteeism for nurses' well-being may conflict with patient safety in an unpredictable, high-paced work environment such as an OOH clinic. This discussion is highly significant but beyond the scope of this article.

### **Work ethics**

The included OOH nurses in this study expressed a standard high threshold for sick absence and a high level of work ethics among the included nurses (eg, feelings of obligation towards colleagues and respect for leaders). Although most organisations value employees with strong work ethics, the findings suggest that this attitude promotes nurses to attend work despite not being well-a finding supported by previous literature.<sup>37 44 45</sup> Moreover, presenteeism is regarded as a demonstration of high morale.44 However, this 'positive' outlook on presenteeism contrasts with nurses' requirements of ethical behaviour. According to the ICN Code of Ethics for Nurses,<sup>46</sup> nurses are obliged to 'maintain fitness to practice (having the skills, knowledge, health and character) so as not to comprise their ability to provide quality, safe care'. These obligations are also stated in the Norwegian Healthcare Personnel Act,<sup>47</sup> indicating a conflict between ethics, work morals, law and presenteeism culture. Research has shown that organisations with a culture that tolerates absenteeism tend to experience higher levels of absenteeism and lower levels of presenteeism.<sup>48</sup> In their study, Fiorini *et al*<sup>24</sup> found that work culture could facilitate presenteeism. The nurses experienced positive reinforcement to attend work despite being unwell due to their cordial relationships with their peers or negative peer pressure to attend work to align with the majority. This emphasises the importance of workplace culture when addressing absenteeism and presenteeism.

### **Presenteeism and RiH**

Wiig *et al*<sup>8</sup> define RiH as 'the diverse capacities of a healthcare system that allow it to maintain the delivery of highquality care during and after events that challenge, change or disrupt its activities by engaging people in collaborative and coordinated processes that adapt, enhance or reorganise system functioning in response to those events'. In this study, we found that nurses were engaging in resilient behaviour by adapting their work (coming to work in ill health) to cope with inadequate nursing resources, avoid increased workload on the remaining staff, and the possible patient safety consequences this may involve. Moreover, when they engaged in presenteeism, the nurses adapted their work to their limited health by using protocols extensively, finding support from colleagues or avoiding responsibilities such as the team leader role. The aim was to decrease potential adverse events linked to lack of concentration due to their illness. These measures display flexibility and adaptive capacity. In line with RiH, this behaviour may explain how the OOH system avoids adverse patient outcomes despite the extent of presentism described in this study. However, although resilience is regarded as a phenomenon of positive adjustments, it is essential to illuminate that resilience can be 'overused' or 'misused', leading to poor, potentially dangerous adaptations (eg, going to work with COVID-19 infection during the pandemic period). What is more, relying on nurse resilience daily to resolve a long-term problem, such as insufficient staffing, may have profound consequences in the long run and can lead to nurse job dissatisfaction, burn-out and turnover.<sup>49</sup> Lastly, since research has found that turning up for work may have a negative impact on patient safety<sup>6 31-34</sup> and nurse performance,<sup>25,33</sup> it leaves the question of whether or not adaptive behaviour linked to presentism enhances RiH.<sup>50</sup> Further research is needed on this topic.

### Limitations of the study

One potential limitation of this study is the small sample size obtained from a restricted area of the country, which may not represent the diversity of the overall population. Nevertheless, the participants had in-depth experience in presenteeism in OOH settings, providing high informational power. According to Malterud *et als*<sup>51</sup> information power model, 'the more information the sample holds, relevant for the actual study, the lower the number of participants is needed'. Furthermore, it has been argued that small samples 'facilitate the researcher's close association with the respondents' and may enhance the 'validity of fine-grained, in-depth inquiry'.<sup>52</sup>

The unique organisational structure of OOH in Norway may limit the applicability of the study's findings to other organisational structures. Additionally, the motives and outcomes of presenteeism may vary across organisations, as factors such as personality, staff attitudes and work-time arrangements may influence why nurses engage in presenteeism. As a result, the findings may not be directly transferable. However, a detailed description of the study context and sampling is provided to increase external validity, enabling readers to determine whether the findings are relevant for other settings.<sup>18</sup>

### PRACTICE AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Nurse managers must understand that focusing solely on absenteeism is inadequate. Research shows that presenteeism

costs more and negatively impacts nurses' health, the quality of care and patient safety. Clear guidelines for presenteeism and absenteeism in clinics are crucial. Additionally, nurse managers are encouraged to look closely at the internal control systems for monitoring the quality of care and adverse events.

The correlations between perceived work-related stress and presenteeism suggest that interventions to minimise work stress could reduce the adversities of nurse fatigue and levels of presenteeism. It is also crucial to raise nurses' awareness of presenteeism and patient safety. Implementing interventions that foster a work culture that prioritises nurses' well-being can be a protective measure against presenteeism.

## **RESEARCH IMPLICATIONS**

Overall, the study gives new insight into the OOH nurses' experience with presenteeism and their ability to adapt. However, the study results indicate that presenteeism's impact on patient safety is still unclear. This assumption is based on the fact that the findings in this paper are based on individual incident reports in a limited number of settings. More qualitative research is needed, including OOH clinics in all parts of the county. Moreover, there is a need for quantitative studies to further explore the correlation between presenteeism and adverse events to gain more insight into the connection between patient safety and presenteeism. Exploring the phenomenon within this uninvestigated context adds to our understanding of presenteeism.

- This paper provides new insight into RNs' experience of presenteeism within a prehospital emergency care setting and how it might affect patient safety.
- ► The insights of this research paper may contribute to the awareness of work-stress-related presenteeism.
- Improvement in nurse shortages may be required to address the global challenge of presenteeism.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study highlights the high presenteeism levels perceived by nurses working in OOH emergency primary care clinics and how their strong work ethics contribute to this phenomenon. The findings also shed light on the work-stress-related presenteeism experienced by the nurses. The study reveals that nurses are aware of presenteeism's potential impact on patient safety and exhibit adaptive behaviour. The nurses were confident that their wellness issues had no meaningful consequences for the safety of patients in acute situations. Nevertheless, it is essential to acknowledge the limitations of this study. Although the findings give us new insight into nurses' experience and presenteeism's impact on patient safety when caring for acutely ill or injured patients in an OOH setting, it is still unclear and requires more research.

**Contributors** AM: gurantor, contributed to the study design, was responsible for ethical approval application, collected and analysed the data, and was the main contributor in writing and revising the manuscript. MKG: gurantor, contributed to the

### **Open access**

study design, guided the data analyses and contributed to the writing and revising of the manuscript. Both authors approved the final manuscript.

**Funding** The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

**Patient and public involvement** Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval This study involves human participants and was approved by Sikt—Norwegian Agency for Shared Services in Education and Research—formerly known as NSD—Norsk senter for forskningsdata (reference number 864082). Participants gave informed consent to participate in the study before taking part.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

**Data availability statement** Data are available on reasonable request. Due to the nature of the study, the data will not be shared publicly. The data to support the findings are available on reasonable request.

**Open access** This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

#### **ORCID iDs**

Angelena Moore http://orcid.org/0009-0007-6505-5538 Malin Knutsen Glette http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3822-0581

### REFERENCES

- 1 Rainbow JG, Steege LM. Presenteeism in nursing: an evolutionary concept analysis. *Nurs Outlook* 2017;65:615–23.
- 2 Letvak SA, Ruhm CJ, Gupta SN. Original research: nurses' presenteeism and its effects on self-reported quality of care and costs. *Am J Nurs* 2012;112:30–8.
- 3 Freeling M, Rainbow JG, Chamberlain D. Painting a picture of nurse presenteeism: a multi-country integrative review. *Int J Nurs Stud* 2020;109:103659.
- 4 Hall LH, Johnson J, Watt I, *et al*. Healthcare staff wellbeing, burnout, and patient safety: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0159015.
- 5 Manomenidis G, Panagopoulou E, Montgomery A. Job burnout reduces hand hygiene compliance among nursing staff. J Patient Saf 2019;15:e70–3.
- 6 Pereira F, Querido A, Verloo H, et al. Consequences of nurse presenteeism in Switzerland and Portugal and strategies to minimize it: a qualitative study. *Healthcare (Basel)* 2022;10:1871.
- 7 Hansen EH, Hunskår S. Legevaktarbeid: En Innføringsbok for Leger og Sykepleiere. First edition. Oslo: Gyldendal Norsk Foralg AS, 2016.
- 8 Wiig S, Aase K, Billett S, *et al.* Defining the boundaries and operational concepts of resilience in the resilience in Healthcare research program. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2020;20:330.
- Patnapalan S, Lang D. Health care organizations as complex adaptive systems. *Health Care Manag (Frederick)* 2020;39:18–23.
  Polit DF, Beck CT. *Nursing Research: Generating and Assessing*
- 10 Polit DF, Beck CT. *Nursing Research: Generating and Assessing Evidence for Nursing Practice.* Tenth edition. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health, 2017.
- 11 Rendle KA, Abramson CM, Garrett SB, et al. Beyond exploratory: a tailored framework for designing and assessing qualitative health research. BMJ Open 2019;9:e030123.
- 12 Peoples K. How to write a phenomenological dissertation: a stepby-step guide. In: *Qualitative Research Methods Series*. First Edition. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications, Inc, 2021.
- 13 Debesay J, Nåden D, Slettebø A. How do we close the hermeneutic circle? A Gadamerian approach to justification in interpretation in qualitative studies. *Nurs Ing* 2008;15:57–66.
- 14 Neubauer BE, Witkop CT, Varpio L. How phenomenology can help us learn from the experiences of others. *Perspect Med Educ* 2019;8:90–7.
- 15 Helse og omsorgstjenesteloven. Lov OM Kommunale Helse- Og Omsorgstjenester M.M. LOV-2011-06-24-30. Lovdata; 2011. Available: https://lovdata.no/dokument/NL/lov/2011-06-24-30?q= lov%20om%20kommunale%20helse%20og [Accessed 24 Mar 2023].

- 16 Steeman L, Uijen M, Plat E, et al. Out-of-hours primary care in 26 European countries: an overview of organizational models. Fam Pract 2020;37:744–50.
- 17 Allertsen M, Morken T. Legevaktorganisering I Norge. Rapport Fra Nasjonalt Legevaktregister 2020; 2021.
- 18 Braun V, Clarke V. Successful Qualitative Research: A Practical Guide for Beginners. Los Angeles: SAGE, 2013.
- 19 Malterud K. Kvalitative Forskningsmetoder for Medisin og Helsefag: En Innføring. Oslo: Universitetsforlaget, 2017.
- 20 Brinkmann S, Kvale S, Flick U. Doing Interviews. Second Edition. London: SAGE (The Sage qualitative research kit), 2018: 186.
- 21 Graneheim UH, Lindgren B-M, Lundman B. Methodological challenges in qualitative content analysis: a discussion paper. *Nurse Educ Today* 2017;56:29–34.
- 22 Rainbow JG, Gilbreath B, Steege LM. How to know if you're really there: an evaluation of measures for presenteeism in nursing. J Occup Environ Med 2019;61:e25–32.
- 23 Aronsson G, Gustafsson K, Dallner M. Sick but yet at work. An empirical study of sickness presenteeism. J Epidemiol Community Health 2000;54:502–9.
- 24 Fiorini LA, Griffiths A, Houdmont J. Reasons for presenteeism in nurses working in geriatric settings: a qualitative study. *JHA* 2018;7:9.
- 25 Griffiths P, Saville C, Ball J, et al. Nursing workload, nurse staffing methodologies and tools: a systematic scoping review and discussion. Int J Nurs Stud 2020;103:103487.
- 26 Drennan VM, Ross F. Global nurse shortages-the facts, the impact and action for change. Br Med Bull 2019;130:25–37.
- 27 Dall'Ora C, Ball J, Reinius M, et al. Burnout in nursing: a theoretical review. Hum Resour Health 2020;18:41.
- 28 Dall'Ora C, Saville C, Rubbo B, et al. Nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes: a systematic review of longitudinal studies. Int J Nurs Stud 2022;134:104311.
- 29 Ausserhofer D, Zander B, Busse R, et al. Prevalence, patterns and predictors of nursing care left undone in European hospitals: results from the multicountry cross-sectional Rn4Cast study. BMJ Qual Saf 2014;23:126–35.
- 30 Brooks Carthon JM, Hatfield L, Plover C, et al. Association of nurse engagement and nurse staffing on patient safety. J Nurs Care Qual 2019;34:40–6.
- 31 Harvey C, Buckley C, Forrest R, et al. Aberrant work environments rationed care as system failure or missed care as skills failure? GSTF. JNHC 2015;2.
- 32 Letvak S, Ruhm C, Lane S. The impact of nurses' health on productivity and quality of care. *J Nurs Adm* 2011;41:162–7.
- 33 Rainbow JG. Presenteeism: nurse perceptions and consequences. J Nurs Manag 2019;27:1530–7.
- 34 Saleh AM, Awadalla NJ, El-masri YM, et al. Impacts of nurses' circadian rhythm sleep disorders, fatigue, and depression on medication administration errors. Egypt J Chest Dis Tuberc 2014;63:145–53.
- 35 Sánchez-Zaballos M, Baldonedo-Mosteiro M, Mosteiro-Díaz MP. Presenteeism among emergency health care staff. *Emergencias* 2018;30:35–40.
- 36 D'emeh WM, Yacoub MI, Shahwan BS. Work-related stress and anxiety among frontline nurses during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. *J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv* 2021;59:31–42.
- 37 Banks C, Pearson S. Personality, staff attitudes and their association with absenteeism and presenteeism in Australian public sector hospital-based nurses: a cross-sectional study. *J Nurs Manag* 2021;29:2639–46.
- 38 Chou L-P, Li C-Y, Hu SC. Job stress and burnout in hospital employees: comparisons of different medical professions in a regional hospital in Taiwan. *BMJ Open* 2014;4:e004185.
- 39 Rahmani F, Behshid M, Zamanzadeh V, et al. Relationship between general health, occupational stress and burnout in critical care nurses of Tabriz teaching hospitals. *IJN* 2010;23:54–63.
- 40 Rainbow JG, Gilbreath B, Steege LM. Risky business: a mediated model of antecedents and consequences of presenteeism in nursing. *Nurs Res* 2021;70:85–94.
- 41 Moustaka E, Constantinidis TC. Sources and effects of work-related stress in nursing. *Health Sci J* 2010;4:210–6.
- 42 Taloyan M, Aronsson G, Leineweber C, *et al.* Sickness presenteeism predicts suboptimal self-rated health and sickness absence: a nationally representative study of the Swedish working population. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e44721.
- 43 Karanika-Murray M, Biron C. The health-performance framework of presenteeism: towards understanding an adaptive behaviour. *Human Relations* 2020;73:242–61.

## 

## Open access

- 44 Gur-Arie R, Katz MA, Hirsch A, *et al.* You have to die not to come to work": a mixed methods study of attitudes and behaviors regarding presenteeism, absenteeism and influenza vaccination among healthcare personnel with respiratory illness in Israel, 2016-2019. *Vaccine* 2021;39:2366–74.
- 45 Yildiz H, Yildiz B, Zehir C, et al. Impact on presenteeism of the conscientiousness trait: a health sector case study. Soc Behav Pers 2017;45:399–411.
- 46 ICN\_Code-of-Ethics\_EN\_Web.pdf. Available: https://www.icn. ch/sites/default/files/2023-06/ICN\_Code-of-Ethics\_EN\_Web.pdf [Accessed 12 Oct 2023].
- 47 Helsepersonelloven. Lov OM Helsepersonell (LOV-2019-04-10-11). Lovdata; 1999. Available: https://lovdata.no/lov/2019-04-10-11
- 48 Johns G. Attendance dynamics at work: the antecedents and correlates of presenteeism, absenteeism, and productivity loss. J Occup Health Psychol 2011;16:483–500.
- 49 Wears RL, Hollnagel E, Braithwaite J, eds. The Resilience of Everyday Clinical Work (Resilient health care). Farnham, Surrey ; Burlington, VT: Ashgate, 2015: 295.
- 50 Lyng HB, Macrae C, Guise V, et al. Balancing adaptation and innovation for resilience in healthcare - a metasynthesis of narratives. BMC Health Serv Res 2021;21:759.
- 51 Malterud K, Siersma VD, Guassora AD. Sample size in qualitative interview studies: guided by information power. *Qual Health Res* 2016;26:1753–60.
- 52 Crouch M, McKenzie H. The logic of small samples in interviewbased qualitative research. Soc Sci Inf 2006;45:483–99.